

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/708,293	02/23/2004	Bruce A. Hotton	2218806533a	2292		
24024 7:	590 09/14/2004		EXAMINER			
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			HIRSCH, PAUL J			
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			3754			
			DATE MAILED: 00/14/200	DATE MAILED: 00/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A == 11 = = 41 = =	No	Applicant(s)	11	<del></del>
	Application	NO.		IVV	
_	10/708,293		HOTTON ET AL.		1
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Paul J. Hirs		3754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the c	cover sheet with the (	correspondence ad	aress	
Period for Reply	) V 10 0ET TA	EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event eply within the statute od will apply and will o	t, however, may a reply be to bry minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	February 2004	<u>4</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is no	n-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except f	or formal matters, pi	osecution as to th	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		sideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.			
. Claim(s) are subject to recovered.		•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		_	_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)[	_ objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(0	d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Offic	ce Action or form F	<sup>2</sup> FO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			ation No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority docume	ents have been rece	ived in this Nation	al Stage	
application from the International Bu				-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a			ved.		
See the attached detailed Office action for a					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	5) 3/08)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (F	PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	וטטוכ	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,695,285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of the instant claims is included in the claims of the patent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-8, 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scaramucci. Scaramucci teaches all features as recited by

Art Unit: 3754

the claims including flange grooves 42, 44, seat rings 72,74 and seals 86, 86a within the valve body 22 at each side of the ball. Note that each seat ring has a radially extending flange shown at reference numerals 42,44,46. In regard to claim 5 note seat rings 72, 74 are disclosed as being of high strength material of metal or the like (col. 3, lines 49-60). In regard to claims 13-15 any movement of the flange seal is at least partially inherently independent of the movement of the seat ring due to their construction material difference at least to the same degree as Applicant's.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaramucci in view of Shand. The provision of an axial loading member against a seal member is taught by Shand and would be obvious within Scaramucci for providing further axial tolerance compression upon wear. In regard to claim 19 surface 38 of Scaramucci and area 28 of Shand are corners providing bending leverage to their respective seals.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaramucci in view of Winnike et al and Bentley-Leek. The use of tapered

Art Unit: 3754

surfaces producing a better seal is taught by each of Winnike et al and Bentley-Leek and is considered convention to the art for application of seal force and would be obvious within the coacting seal surfaces of Scaramucci producing an effective seal.

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callahan Jr. et al and Kindersley. The material used as seal members is considered an obvious choice dependent on specific purpose. Note material use of Callahan Jr. et al. In regard to claim 6, note relative hardness of the seat ring relative to seal member 18 of Kindersley.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul J. Hirsch whose telephone number is 703-308-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703 3082696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul J. Hirsch Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

Pjh September 16, 2004